Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly

© Springer-Verlag 1995 Printed in Austria

On the Catalytic Effect of Thiolactams on the Electrochemical Reduction of Zn(II) Ions

G. Dalmata

Faculty of Chemistry, M. Curie-Skłodowska University, PL-20031 Lublin, Poland

Summary. The electroreduction of Zn(II) ions in 1 *M* NaClO₄ in the presence of thiolactams has been studied by means of the faradaic impedance method in wide frequency ranges. The standard rate constants are found to be a linear function of the surface excesses of thiolactams. Catalytic activity of thiolactams increases in the following order: thiopyrrolidone, thiopiperidinone, thiocaprolactam; the enthalpies of activation decrease in this order. The differences in the catalytic activity of thiolactams result mainly from the double layer effect.

Keywords. Electroreduction of Zn(II) ions; Thiolactams; Catalytic activity.

Zum katalytischen Effekt von Thiolactamen auf die elektrochemische Reduktion von Zn(II)-Ionen

Zusammenfassung. Die Elektroreduktion von Zn(II)-Ionen in 1 M NaClO₄ in Gegenwart von Thiolactamen wurde mittels der *Faradays*chen Impedanzmethode über große Frequenzbereiche untersucht. Die Standardgeschwindigkeitskonstanten sind eine lineare Funktion der Überschußoberfläche der Thiolactame. Deren katalytische Aktivität steigt in der Reihenfolge Thiopyrrolidon – Thiopiperidinon – Thiocaprolactam; die Aktivierungsenthalpien sinken in der angegebenen Reihenfolge. Die Unterschiede in der katalytischen Aktivität der Thiolactame sind hauptsächlich auf den Doppelschichteffekt zurückzuführen.

Introduction

Electroreduction of Zn(II) ions on mercury is one of the electrode reactions most frequently studied. Only recently, interest has focused on the multistep character of its mechanism [1–6]. The electroreduction of Zn(II) ions occurs at various rates, depending on the nature of the supporting electrolyte. The rate of the electroreduction rises if the supporting electrolyte has a polarizable anion adsorbed on the mercury [7–10]. Andreu et al. [11] stated that J^- ions catalyze both steps of the electron transfer, but greater acceleration is achieved with the transfer of the first electron.

The electroreduction of Zn(II) ions is also accelerated by organic substances which meet the conditions determined by the cap-pair principle [12, 13]. Thiolactams meet these conditions. They adsorb on the mercury surface in the potential range of Zn(II) ion reduction [14] and possess a strongly polar thiocarbonyl group as well as a free pair of electrons which influence their chemical activity. Thiolactams form various complexes with metals: ionic pair [15, 16], ternary mixed [17], and cationic [18] types. Simple thiolactam complexes are unstable and undergo decomposition with evolution of sulfides. Thiolactams are very interesting compounds because of their medicinal application as an important remedy in modern therapy as well as because of their technological importance.

This paper presents studies and comparisons of the catalytic activity of thiopyrrolidone, thiopiperidinone, and thiocaprolactam on the overall rate constants of the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions from $1 M \text{ NaClO}_4$ solutions.

Results and Discussion

The approximate diffusion coefficients of Zn(II) ions in the examined solutions were calculated using the *Ilkovic* equation for a diffusion controlled limiting current. The polarographic wave of Zn(II) in 0.1 M KNO₃ with the value of $D_{ox} = 6.9 \times 10^{-6}$ cm² s⁻¹ for the Zn(II) ion diffusion coefficient at 298 K [21] was used as a standard.

The reproducibility of the results obtained was $\pm 5\%$. The values of Zn(II) ion diffusion coefficients in the presence of thiolactams ($0 < c < 0.01 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$) are minimally smaller than the value for 1 M NaClO₄ and vary in the range of $6.6-6.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Together with the value of the diffusion coefficient $D_{\text{R}} = 16.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for Zn in mercury [22, 23] this gives a value of 6 mV for the difference $E_{1/2r} - E_{\text{f}}$ between the reversible half wave potential and the formal potential at 298 K.

The reversible half wave potentials $E_{1/2r}$ of the reduction of Zn(II) ions were estimated from cyclic voltammetric curves [24] with a reproducibility of ± 0.002 V using sweep rates from 0.005 to 0.01 Vs⁻¹.

The complex cell impedance was obtained at 18 frequencies in the range from 100 to 10000 Hz. The ohmic resistance of the electrolyte solution was obtained as the real impedance component at a frequency of 10 kHz and at a potential outside the faradaic region. The activation polarization resistances R_A were determined for



Fig. 1. Impedance diagrams measured at E_f for the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions in the presence of 0.001 mol·dm⁻³ thiopyrrolidone (A), thiopiperidinone (B), and thiocaprolactam (C); the numbers indicate the frequency in kHz

Electrochemical Reduction of Zn(II)

 $E_{\rm f}$ and calculated from the dependence $Z' = f(\omega Z'')$ or Z' = f(Z'') [25–27], where Z' is the real and Z'' the imaginary part of the cell impedance. The accuracy of measurements of Z' and Z'' was about 2%. Figure 1 presents some examples of impedance diagrams for the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions in the presence of 0.001 M thiolactams.

The reaction standard rate constants k_s were calculated according to equation 1 [26] where c is the concentration of depolarizer.

$$k_{\rm s} = \frac{RT}{n^2 F^2 c R_{\rm A}}$$

Tables 1–3 present reversible half wave potentials, standard rate constants of the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions, and surface excesses at the formal potential in solutions of 1 *M* NaClO₄ at various concentrations of thiolactams.

Table 1. Values of reversible half wave potential $(E_{1/2r})$, standard rate constants (k_s) of the (Hg)Zn $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})/\text{Zn}(\text{II})(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})$ system, and surface excesses at the potential E_f in 1 *M* NaClO₄ solutions at various concentrations of thiopyrrolidone. Data of the surface excesses were taken from Ref. [14]

$c \cdot 10^3 (\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3})$	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	4	6	8	10
$\frac{-E_{1/2r}(V)}{k_{s} \cdot 10^{3} (\text{cm} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})}$ $\Gamma \cdot 10^{10} (\text{mol} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2})$	0.985 3.3 0	0.985 4.5 0.8	0.982 5.5 1.3	0.980 7.5 1.5	0.979 10.0 1.8	0.979 12.0 2.3	0.980 13.2 2.6	0.980 14.5 2.8	0.981 16.3 2.9

Table 2. Values of reversible half wave potential $(E_{1/2r})$, standard rate constants (k_s) of the (Hg)Zn $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})/\text{Zn}(\text{II})(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})$ system, and surface excesses at the potential E_f in 1 *M* NaClO₄ solutions at various concentrations of thiopiperidinone. Data of the surface excesses were taken from Ref. [14]

$c \cdot 10^3 (\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3})$	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	4	6	8	10
$-E_{1/2r}(\mathbf{V})$	0.985	0.989	0.991	0.991	0.989	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990
$k_{\rm s} \cdot 10^3 ({\rm cm} \cdot {\rm s}^{-1})$	3.3	9.7	17.5	19.5	23.0	34.1	44.0	47.0	49.3
$\Gamma \cdot 10^{10} (\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-2})$	0	0.8	1.4	1.7	2.1	3.2	4.1	4.4	4.5

Table 3. Values of reversible half wave potential $(E_{1/2r})$, standard rate constants (k_s) of the (Hg)Zn $(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})/\text{Zn}(\text{II})(1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})$ system, and surface excesses at the potential E_f in 1 *M* solutions NaClO₄ at various concentrations of thiocaprolactam. Data of the surface excesses were taken from Ref. [14]

$c \cdot 10^3 (\mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{dm}^{-3})$	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	4
$-E_{1/2r}(V)$	0.985	0.990	0.991	0.989	0.990	0.990
$k_{\rm s} \cdot 10^3 ({\rm cm} \cdot {\rm s}^{-1})$	3.3	13.6	27.3	35.0	41.0	59.3
$\Gamma \cdot 10^{10} (\text{mol} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2})$	0	0.9	2.2	2.8	3.4	4.8



Fig. 2. Dependence of the standard rate constants for the Zn(Hg)/Zn(II) couple on the surface excess of thiopyrrolidone (1) thiopiperidinone (2), and thiocaprolactam (3) at the formal potential

 $E_{1/2r}$ of Zn(II) ions electroreduction is shifted by *ca*. 5 mV towards positive potentials by thiopyrrolidone; thiopiperidinone and thiocaprolactam cause shifts of *ca*. 5 mV towards negative potentials. Such small changes of $E_{1/2r}$ indicate that no stable Zn-thiolactam complexes are present in the solutions; they are caused only by the influence of thiolactams on ϕ_2 .

The standard rate constants of the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions increased with rising concentration of thiolactams in the solution which indicated strong double layer effects. Figure 2 presents the dependence of the standard rate constant of the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions on the thiolactam surface excess at the formal potential. The linear dependence $k_s = f(\Gamma_{Ef})$ can be interpreted by the so-called bridging model [9] where the adsorbed species are assumed to facilitate the transfer of electrons across the inner layer. With an increasing number of CH₂ groups in the thiolactam molecules, the surface excesses of these compounds at the formal potential and their catalytic activity increase. It seems that the differences in the catalytic activity result mainly from double layer effects which is indicated by the rectilinear dependence $k_s = f(\Gamma_{Ef})$ (Fig. 2).

To find out whether or not Zn(II) ions can be accumulated in the surface layer by the interaction with the adsorbed thiolactams molecules, chronocoulometric measurements of the maximal charge (Q_d) of Zn(II) ions electroreduction as a function of the integration time (t_p) were performed in 0.001 *M* thiolactams in 1 *M* NaClO₄. Q_d of the Zn(II) electroreduction process was measured at a potential of -1.05 V. The plot of $Q_d vs. t_p^{1/2}$ was linear and intersected the origin of coordinates after subtraction of the double layer charge recorded for blank solutions. It has to be pointed out that under such conditions, the cathodic reduction of Zn(II) ions is limited by diffusion and the induced adsorption of Zn(II) ions, if any, is within the limits of experimental error.

The effect of temperature (290-328 K) on Zn(II) ions electroreduction in the presence of 0.001 *M* thiolactams was also studied (Table 4). The small temperature coefficient of the limiting current values (1.08-1.4%) per degree) for the polarographic reduction of Zn(II) ions in the presence of thiolactams indicates the diffusion – controlled nature of the wave.

temperature	<i>i</i> .10 ⁶	temperature	_ F	
(K)	(A)	coefficient (percent · degree ⁻¹)	(V)	
thiopyrrolidone				
290	3.4	_	0.990	
298	3.8	1.40	0.982	
308	4.3	1.23	0.981	
318	4.9	1.30	0.975	
328	5.5	1.15	0.971	
thiopiperidinon	e			
290	3.4	_	0.996	
298	3.9	1.37	0.991	
308	4.4	1.20	0.981	
318	4.9	1.08	0.979	
328	5.5	1.15	0.973	
thiocaprolactam	1			
290	3.4	_	0.999	
298	3.8	1.40	0.991	
308	4.3	1.23	0.986	
318	4.9	1.30	0.983	
328	5.6	1.34	0.981	

Table 4. Effect of temperature on the polarographic limiting current (i_{lim}) of the electroreduction of $1 \times 10^{-3} M \text{ Zn(II)}$ ions in $1 M \text{ NaClO}_4$ with additon of $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ thiolactam; values of the reversible half wave potentials $E_{1/2r}$ estimated from the cyclic voltammetric curves

Thiolactams do not affect the temperature changes of Zn(II) ions diffusion coefficients. The observed positive shift in the reversible half wave potential with increasing temperature can be explained by considering the correlation between electrode kinetics and double-layer structure developed by *Frumkin* [28] and *Delahay* [29].

From the temperature dependence of the standard rate constants (Fig. 3), the enthalpies of activation ($\Delta H^{\#}$) were determined according to equation 2 [30].

$$\Delta H^{\#} = 2.303 \mathbf{R} \cdot \mathbf{d}(1gk_{\rm s})/\mathbf{d}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)$$

Enthalpies of activation are $35.4 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, $26.0 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$, and $17.9 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ in the presence of thiopyrrolidone, thiopiperidinone, and thiocaprolactam, respectively. *Hush* and *Blackledge* [1] determined $\Delta H^{\#}$ for Zn(II) ions electroreduction at the Hg electrode at various concentrations of NaClO₄. They found that it was constant and equal to $42 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$. The decrease of enthalpies of activation confirms the catalytic activity of thiolactams. Thiocaprolactam is evidently the most effective one in accelerating the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions.

The present investigation provides a basis for more detailed studies on the influence of catalytic effects on the step character of the Zn(II) ions electroreduction



Fig. 3. Plot of $\lg k_s vs. 1/T$ for the electroreduction of Zn(II) ions in the presence of thiopyrrolidone (1), thiopiperidinone (2), and thiocaprolactam (3)

process. These effects will possibly allow to determine the mechanism of the reduction process and the nature of the catalytic effect.

Experimental

The measurements were carried out with a polarograph PA-4 by Laboratorni Pristroje Prague and an EG and G PARC Instrument model 388 and 270 employing a static mercury drop electrode manufactured by Laboratorni Pristroje – Prague (the drop surface of the hanging electrode was 0.0133 cm²). The reference electrode was a saturated calomel electrode with NaCl (SSCE) or Ag/AgCl; a platinum spiral was used as an auxiliary electrode. The reference electrode was equipped with a *Luggin* capillary probe. The capillary was filled with cell solution. The potentials are referred to the SSCE.

Solutions were made up from twice distilled water and analytical grade reagents (Merck). Zinc perchlorate was prepared by dissolving ZnO in a small excess of perchloric acid. Concentrations: Zn(II), 0.001 mol·dm⁻³; NaClO₄, 1 mol·dm⁻³. The *pH* of the solutions was adjusted to 5.2. The preparation of thiolactams was accomplished according to a published procedure [20]. Lactams were commercially available (Merck). Measurements were made for 5–8 concentrations of thiolactams between 0.0005 and 0.01 mol·dm⁻³.

Solutions were deaerated by nitrogen which had been passed through a vanadous sulfate solution and presaturated with the investigated solution. This gas was passed over the solution during the measurements. Measurements were carried out at 298 ± 1 K.

Acknowledgements

The author wishes to thank Prof. K. Sykut for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] Hush NS, Blackledge J (1963) J Electroanal Chem 5: 420
- [2] Salie G (1970) Z Phys Chem Leipz 244: 1
- [3] Loves VV (1972) In: Conway BE, Bockris J O'M (eds) Modern aspects of electrochemistry, vol 7. Butterworths, London

- [4] Hurlen T, Eriksrud E (1973) J Electroanal Chem 45: 405
- [5] Van der Pol F, Sluyters-Rehbach M, Sluyters JH (1975) J Electroanal Chem 58: 177
- [6] Andreu R, Sluyters-Rehbach M, Remijnse AG, Sluyters JH (1982) J Electroanal Chem 134: 101
- [7] Tanaka N, Tamamushi T (1964) Electrochim Acta 9: 963
- [8] Blackledge J, Hush NS (1963) J Electroanal Chem 5: 435
- [9] Tamamushi R, Ishibashi R, Tanaka N (1962) Z Phys Chem NF 35: 205
- [10] Teppema J, Sluyters-Rehbach M, Sluyters JH (1968) J Electroanal Chem 16: 165
- [11] Andreu R, Sluyters-Rehbach M, Sluyters JH (1984) J Electroanal Chem 171: 139
- [12] Sykut K, Dalmata G, Nowicka B, Saba J (1978) J Electroanal Chem 90: 299
- [13] Sykut K, Saba J, Marczewska B, Dalmata G (1984) J Electroanal Chem 178: 295
- [14] Dalmata G, Saba J, Marczewska B, Sykut K (1986) Ann UMCS 41: 81
- [15] Sikorska-Tomicka H (1967) Chem Anal 12: 1291
- [16] Sikorska-Tomicka H (1968) Mikrochim Acta 1106
- [17] Sikorska-Tomicka H (1985) Chem Anal 30: 657
- [18] Madan SK (1967) J Inorg Nucl Chem 29: 2765
- [19] Sikorska-Tomicka H (1971) Microchem J 16: 437
- [20] Wirth NV (1953) Pat USA 2, 688, 014
- [21] Turnham DS (1965) J Electroanal Chem 10: 19
- [22] Furman NS, Cooper W Ch (1950) J Am Chem Soc 72: 5667
- [23] Ravdel AA, Moskievich AS (1971) Zh Prikl Khim 44: 1781
- [24] Galus Z (1979) Elektroanalityczne metody wyznaczania stałych fizykochemicznych. PWN Warszawa
- [25] Sluyters JH, Oomen JJC (1960) Rec Trav Chim Pays-Bas 79: 1101
- [26] Sluyters JH (1960) Rec Trav Chim Pays-Bas 79: 1092
- [27] Sluyters-Rehbach M, Sluyters JH (1970) In: Bard AJ (ed) Electroanalytical chemistry, vol 4. Dekker, New York
- [28] Frumkin AN (1961) In: Delahay P (eds) Advances in electrochemistry and electrochemical engineering, vol 3. Interscience, New York
- [29] Delahay P (1965) Double layer and electrode kinetics. Interscience, New York
- [30] Temkin MJ (1948) Zh Fiz Khim 22: 1081

Received March 20, 1995. Accepted (revised) May 2, 1995